Non-commutative Geometry and Gravity Models

How certain gravity models arise from the non-commutative geometry (NCG) of particle physics and how to approach Quantum Gravity within this framework.

Paul Druce

University of Nottingham

- 1. Introduction to Noncommutative Geometry
- 2. Particle Physics From Noncommutative Geometry
- 3. Modified Gravity Theories from Spectral Action

4. Noncommutative Geometry Approaches to Quantum Gravity and Unification

Introduction to Noncommutative Geometry

Noncommutative Geometry à la Connes

Geometric

Manifold MRiemannian metric gSpinor bundle $S \rightarrow M$

Algebraic

 \longrightarrow

Algebra $A = C^{\infty}(M)$ Hilbert Space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S)$ Dirac Operator $\not{D} = i\gamma^a e_a{}^{\mu} \nabla^S_{\mu}$

Noncommutative Geometry à la Connes

Geometric

Manifold MRiemannian metric gSpinor bundle $S \rightarrow M$

Algebraic

Algebra $A = C^{\infty}(M)$ Hilbert Space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S)$ Dirac Operator $\not{D} = i\gamma^a e_a{}^{\mu} \nabla^S_{\mu}$

Extend to Noncommutative Algebras

Make A noncommutative but keep all other requirements the same

 \Leftrightarrow

Are their noncommutative manifolds?

What are their properties?

Particle Physics From Noncommutative Geometry

 $\mathsf{A}_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$

 $A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ $\mathcal{H}_F = \mathbb{C}^{96}$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A}_{F} &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_{3}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \mathcal{H}_{F} &= \mathbb{C}^{96} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{R}, \nu_{L}, e_{R}, e_{L} \\ & & \\ \hline \mathbb{C}^{4} \\ & \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}^{4} \\ u_{R}, u_{L}, d_{R}, d_{L} \\ & & \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} \\ \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}^{3} \\ & \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} \\ \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}^{4} \\ \oplus \\ \mathbb{C}^{3} \\ & \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} \\ \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}^{4} \\ \oplus \\ \mathbb{C}^{3} \\ \oplus \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \overset{\text{generations}}{\bigoplus} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A}_{F} &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_{3}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \mathcal{H}_{F} &= \mathbb{C}^{96} \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{R}, \nu_{L}, e_{R}, e_{L} \\ & \widehat{\mathbb{C}^{4}} \ \oplus \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^{4}}_{u_{R}, u_{L}, d_{R}, d_{L}} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^{3}}_{colour} \right) \oplus \underbrace{\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^{4}}}_{\mathbb{C}^{4}} \oplus \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^{4}}_{u_{R}, u_{L}, d_{R}, d_{L}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^{3}}_{colour} \right) \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\overset{\text{generations}}{\oplus 3}} \end{split}$$



 D_F = matrix of Yukawa couplings and Majorana masses

- Take the finite spectral triple for the Standard Model $(A_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F)$

- Take the finite spectral triple for the Standard Model $(A_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F)$
- Combine them in such a way so that we get another spectral triple.

- Take the finite spectral triple for the Standard Model $(A_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F)$
- Combine them in such a way so that we get another spectral triple.
- Define the Spectral Action and fluctuate the Dirac operator

- Take the finite spectral triple for the Standard Model $(A_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F)$
- Combine them in such a way so that we get another spectral triple.
- Define the Spectral Action and fluctuate the Dirac operator

<u>Result</u>: Standard model physics with all bosons (including Higgs) minimally coupled to GR (+ some other terms which you can make vanish or not)

- Take the finite spectral triple for the Standard Model $(A_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F)$
- Combine them in such a way so that we get another spectral triple.
- Define the Spectral Action and fluctuate the Dirac operator

<u>Result</u>: Standard model physics with all bosons (including Higgs) minimally coupled to GR (+ some other terms which you can make vanish or not)

- What is the spectral action?
- What are the other gravitational terms?

Spectral Action

Connes-Chamseddine Spectral Action

- $S(D, \Omega) = Tr(f[D/\Omega])$
- *f* is a smooth approximation of a cut-off function to regularise the trace
- It's moments $(f_i = \int_0^\infty f(v)v^{i-1}dv)$ will play a role in determining which gravity theory is selected

Fermionic Action

- $S(D,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}(J\zeta,D\zeta)$
- $\zeta = \text{Grassmann Variables}$
- J = real structure (part of the spin bundle)

Spectral Action

Connes-Chamseddine Spectral Action

- $S(D, \Omega) = Tr(f[D/\Omega])$
- *f* is a smooth approximation of a cut-off function to regularise the trace
- It's moments $(f_i = \int_0^\infty f(v)v^{i-1}dv)$ will play a role in determining which gravity theory is selected

Fermionic Action

- $S(D,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}(J\zeta,D\zeta)$
- $\zeta = \text{Grassmann Variables}$
- J = real structure (part of the spin bundle)

<u>Combined the two</u>: $S[D, \Omega, \zeta] = Tr(f[D/\Omega]) + \frac{1}{2}(J\zeta, D\zeta)$

Considering just the spectral action for a 4-dimensional, compact, Riemannian manifold (no finite spectral triple) we have an asymptotic formula Considering just the spectral action for a 4-dimensional, compact, Riemannian manifold (no finite spectral triple) we have an asymptotic formula

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \overbrace{f_2 \Omega^2 \frac{96}{24\pi^2} \int R\sqrt{g} d^4 x}_{+ \frac{f_0}{10\pi^2} \int (\frac{11}{6} \underbrace{\frac{R^*R^*}{Gauss-Bonnet}}_{Gauss-Bonnet} - \underbrace{\frac{3C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{Conformal gravity}}_{Conformal gravity}$$

Considering just the spectral action for a 4-dimensional, compact, Riemannian manifold (no finite spectral triple) we have an asymptotic formula

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \overbrace{f_2 \Omega^2 \frac{96}{24\pi^2} \int R\sqrt{g} d^4 x}_{+ \frac{f_0}{10\pi^2} \int (\frac{11}{6} \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^* \mathbb{R}^*}_{\text{Gauss-Bonnet}} - \underbrace{\frac{3C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}_{\text{Conformal gravity}}}_{\text{Conformal gravity}} d^4 x$$

Rewrite them in terms of effective constants depending on the parameter $\boldsymbol{\Omega}.$

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \int d^4x \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{16\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)}}_{Gauss-Bonnet} R\sqrt{g} + \underbrace{\frac{\Lambda_{eff}(\Omega)}{8\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)}}_{Conformal Gravity} \sqrt{g} \right)$$

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \int d^4x \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{16\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)} R\sqrt{g}}_{Gauss-Bonnet} + \underbrace{\frac{\Lambda_{eff}(\Omega)}{8\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)}\sqrt{g}}_{Conformal Gravity} \sqrt{g} \right)$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is an energy scaling factor and can be used in the renormalisation group equations.

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \int d^4x \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{16\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)} R\sqrt{g}}_{Gauss-Bonnet} + \underbrace{\frac{\Lambda_{eff}(\Omega)}{8\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)}\sqrt{g}}_{Conformal Gravity} \sqrt{g} \right)$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is an energy scaling factor and can be used in the renormalisation group equations.

Introducing the finite spectral triple into the game just changes the coefficients of the terms above and gives a conformal non-minimal coupling of the Higgs and gravity (related to Linde's antigravity model).

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \int d^4x \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{16\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)} R\sqrt{g}}_{Gauss-Bonnet} + \underbrace{\frac{\Lambda_{eff}(\Omega)}{8\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)}\sqrt{g}}_{Conformal Gravity} \sqrt{g} \right)$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is an energy scaling factor and can be used in the renormalisation group equations.

Introducing the finite spectral triple into the game just changes the coeffients of the terms above and gives a conformal non-minimal coupling of the Higgs and gravity (related to Linde's antigravity model). But this is still "semiclassical" in terms of noncommutativity

$$Tr(f[D/\Omega])^{\Omega \to \infty} \int d^4x \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{16\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)} R\sqrt{g}}_{Gauss-Bonnet} + \underbrace{\frac{\Lambda_{eff}(\Omega)}{8\pi G_{eff}(\Omega)}\sqrt{g}}_{Conformal Gravity} \sqrt{g} \right)$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is an energy scaling factor and can be used in the renormalisation group equations.

Introducing the finite spectral triple into the game just changes the coeffients of the terms above and gives a conformal non-minimal coupling of the Higgs and gravity (related to Linde's antigravity model). But this is still "semiclassical" in terms of noncommutativity Need to go deeper

Noncommutative Geometry Approaches to Quantum Gravity and Unification

Quantum Gravity Theories

Quantum Gravity Theories

How to make spacetime noncommutative?

• Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} - x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)

Quantum Gravity Theories

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product

2.
$$f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$$

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product
 - 2. $f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$
 - 3. You get an uncertainty relation $\Delta x^{\mu} \Delta x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|$

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product
 - 2. $f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$
 - 3. You get an uncertainty relation $\Delta x^{\mu} \Delta x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|$
 - 4. You get UV/IR mixing and breaking of Lorentz symmetry (can extend Lorentz symmetry to something noncommutative)

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product

2.
$$f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$$

- 3. You get an uncertainty relation $\Delta x^{\mu} \Delta x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|$
- 4. You get UV/IR mixing and breaking of Lorentz symmetry (can extend Lorentz symmetry to something noncommutative)
- Consider a commutative algebra but a noncommutative differential structure (in NCG [D,a] is a 1-form).

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product
 - 2. $f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$
 - 3. You get an uncertainty relation $\Delta x^{\mu} \Delta x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|$
 - 4. You get UV/IR mixing and breaking of Lorentz symmetry (can extend Lorentz symmetry to something noncommutative)
- Consider a commutative algebra but a noncommutative differential structure (in NCG [D,a] is a 1-form).
- Make spacetime noncommutative but finite dimensional

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product
 - 2. $f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$
 - 3. You get an uncertainty relation $\Delta x^{\mu} \Delta x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|$
 - 4. You get UV/IR mixing and breaking of Lorentz symmetry (can extend Lorentz symmetry to something noncommutative)
- Consider a commutative algebra but a noncommutative differential structure (in NCG [D,a] is a 1-form).
- Make spacetime noncommutative but finite dimensional
 - 1. Can keep normal *compact Lie group* symmetry

- Make spacetime coordinates noncommutative $x^{\mu} * x^{\nu} x^{\nu} * x^{\mu} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ (θ is an antisymmetric tensor)
 - 1. The new product is given by the moyal product
 - 2. $f(x) * g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta^{\nu}}\right)f(x+\alpha)g(x+\beta)\Big|_{\alpha=\beta=0}$
 - 3. You get an uncertainty relation $\Delta x^{\mu} \Delta x^{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta^{\mu\nu}|$
 - 4. You get UV/IR mixing and breaking of Lorentz symmetry (can extend Lorentz symmetry to something noncommutative)
- Consider a commutative algebra but a noncommutative differential structure (in NCG [D,a] is a 1-form).
- Make spacetime noncommutative but finite dimensional
 - 1. Can keep normal *compact Lie group* symmetry
 - 2. For noncompact Lie group symmetry (like Lorentz symmetry) it's unclear and needs more work

• Higgs mass was predicted (when a free parameter is set to be a constant) and it was incorrect.

- Higgs mass was predicted (when a free parameter is set to be a constant) and it was incorrect.
- The parameter behaves as a scalar field \Rightarrow Pati-Salam unification and a correct Higgs mass.

- Higgs mass was predicted (when a free parameter is set to be a constant) and it was incorrect.
- The parameter behaves as a scalar field \Rightarrow Pati-Salam unification and a correct Higgs mass.

The general idea is to consider a noncommutative space time and then add on the standard model spectral triple as we did for almost-Commutative geometries.

- Higgs mass was predicted (when a free parameter is set to be a constant) and it was incorrect.
- The parameter behaves as a scalar field ⇒ Pati-Salam unification and a correct Higgs mass.

The general idea is to consider a noncommutative space time and then add on the standard model spectral triple as we did for almost-Commutative geometries.

Exactly how is to be seen as we need to consider the following:

My work is similar to that of James Gaunt's. Main Projects

- Fuzzy versions of coadjoint orbits (including Dirac operators)
- Geometrical Measurable quantities on fuzzy spaces (dimension ✓, volumes ✓, curvature?)
- The path integral over Dirac operators. What is a good action? What are the features?
 - Opportunity for machine learning to be applied

LOTS

Needs

- Need an algebraic correspondence for Lorentzian manifolds
- Need more examples and to generally explore the geometry of NCGs

LOTS

Needs

- Need an algebraic correspondence for Lorentzian manifolds
- Need more examples and to generally explore the geometry of NCGs

Wants

- Notion of convergence of noncommutative spaces under a commmutative limit (James Gaunt's talk from yesterday)
- Extending higher structures to a noncommutative setting and enforcing compatibility with commutative analogs (recall need noncommutative gauge equivalence)
- Method for defining Noncommutative nonsymmetric spaces

Thank you for your attention Any questions?